Hunter River High School

Stormwater Management Report

Prepared for:	NSW Department of Education
Date:	18 th July 2023
Prepared by:	Jackson Bramley
Ref:	301350957

Stantec Australia Pty Ltd Level 6, Building B, 207 Pacific Highway, St Leonards NSW 2065 Tel: +61 2 8484 7000 Web: www.stantec.com P\301350909PROJECT DOCUMENTATIONICIVILIDOCUMENTS & REPORTSHUNTER RIVER HS\301350909+RHFS-SWMP_001.DOCX



Revision

Site Address:	36 Elkin Avenue, Heatherbrae, NSW 2324
Real Property Description:	Lot 1, DP120189 Lot 1, DP579025 Lot 1, DP540114
Proposed Development:	Educational Facility
Client:	NSW Department of Education
Local Authority	Port Stephens Council
Authority Reference #:	N/A
Stantec Reference:	301350957-SWMP_005

R. Tracy

Renata Tracey CPEng NER Civil Section Manager Stantec Australia Pty Ltd

Revision	Date	Comment	Prepared By	Approved By
001	26.07.22	Draft Schematic Design	JMB	RET
002	08.08.22	Final Schematic Design	JMB	RET
003	13.04.23	Revised Schematic Design	JMB	RET
004	20.04.23	Revised Schematic Design	JMB	RET
005	18.07.23	Issue for DA	JMB	JMB

COPYRIGHT ©

This report is the property of Stantec, and is licensed to the Client for use on this project. Reproduction of this document for this project shall only be undertaken in full. Reproduction for other purposes without the permission of Stantec is prohibited.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Abbreviations Definitions	2
3.	Relevant Policies, Standards and Guidelines	3
4.	Existing Site Characteristics	4
4.1	Property Detail	4
4.2	Topography	5
4.3	Stormwater Catchments	5
4.4	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure	5
4.5	Existing Stormwater Discharge	5
5.	Local Authority Requirements	7
5.1	Stormwater Conveyance Requirements	7
5.2	Infiltration System Requirements	7
5.3	Stormwater Quality	7
6.	Flood Impact Assessment	
6.1	Existing Flooding	9
6.2	Flood Impacts	10
7.	Stormwater Conveyance	11
7.1	Roof Drainage	11
7.2	Surface Drainage	11
7.3	Legal Point of Discharge	11
8.	Stormwater Attenuation	13
9.	Water Quality Treatment	14
9.1	Potential Pollutants	14
9.2	Pollutant Reduction System	15
10.	Water Sensitive Urban Design Strategy	18
11.	Stormwater Network Maintenance Schedule	19



Design with community in mind

Contents

11.1	Pit and Pipe Network	19
11.2	Water Quality Treatment Devices	19
11.3	Civil Structures	19
11.4	Stormwater and OSD Maintenance Schedule	20
12.	Erosion & Sedimentation Control	22
12.1	Stormwater Drainage Infrastructure Inlets	22
12.2	Construction Exit Protection	22
12.3	Downstream Site Boundaries	23
12.4	Sediment Runoff	23
Append	dix A Civil Design Documentation	1
Append	dix B Existing Flood Information	2
Append	dix C MUSIC Link Report	3



1. Introduction

Stantec have been commissioned by NSW Department of Education to prepare this Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) in support of the approval for the proposed additions to the existing High School development at 36 Elkin Avenue, Heatherbrae NSW 2324.

This report has been prepared to support:

- a) A development application for the construction of a Construction of gymnasium (Block Y), consisting of a basketball court, equipment storage, canteen kitchen, staff room, first aid room and change room amenities, construction of hardstand civic space north of the gymnasium, construction of full-size rugby field, the construction of new carpark consisting of sixty-five (65) parking spaces (including 6 accessible parking spaces) and the construction and connection of a reticulated sewer pipe.
- b) A Part 5 Activity Approval, development permitted without consent, for the construction of a new administration building, student learning hub and provision of essential services.
- c) A Part 5 Activity Approval, development permitted without consent, for the construction of a new linking road and kiss and drop bay between Adelaide Street and Elkin Avenue.

This SWMP outlines the conceptual level stormwater design for the proposed development of an upgraded secondary school.

This SWMP illustrates that the proposed development complies with the conditions set out by Port Stephens Council, Australian Rainfall and Runoff, Australian Standards and best engineering practices.

The purpose of this SWMP is to evaluate the quantity and quality of stormwater associated with the proposed development plan so as to demonstrate to Council that an appropriate stormwater management strategy has been adopted.

This SWMP specifically addresses the following items for both the construction and operational phases of the development:

- Stormwater runoff volumes;
- Stormwater quality treatment measures;
- Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) measures
- Erosion Sedimentation Control
- Stormwater Network Maintenance during Operation

The following will be achieved with the correct application of this SWMP report:

- Appropriate standards to be maintained on all aspects of stormwater within the site,
- Pollution control to be maintained,
- Establishment of a unified, clear, and concise stormwater management strategy.

2. Abbreviations Definitions

- AEP Annual Exceedance Probability
- AHD Australian Height Datum
- ARI Average Recurrence Interval
- ARR Australian Rainfall and Runoff
- DA Development Application
- DCP Development Control Plan
- **DN** Diameter Nominal (mm)
- EY Exceedances per Year
- GPT Gross Pollutant Trap
- IFD Intensity-Frequency-Duration
- IL Invert Level
- L/s Litres per second
- m/s Metres per second
- MUSIC Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualisation
- **OSD** On-site Stormwater Detention
- PSD Permissible Site Discharge
- RCP Reinforced Concrete Pipe
- RL Relative Level
- SID Safety In Design
- SQID's Stormwater Quality Improvement Devices
- SSR Site Storage Requirement
- WQO's Water Quality Objectives
- WSC Water Services Coordinator
- WSUD Water Sensitive Urban Design

3. Relevant Policies, Standards and Guidelines

The following listed policies, standards and guidelines were referred to in the preparation of this report:

- Port Stephens Council DCP (Section B General Provisions) 2022
- Port Stephens 0074 Stormwater Drainage Design (Development Design Specification) 2022
- Port Stephens 0043 Subsurface Drainage Design (Development Design Specification) 2022
- Australian Rainfall & Runoff 2016;
- AS3500 parts 0-5: 2013 Plumbing and Drainage
- Landcom Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction Volume 1 2004
- NSW Floodplain Development Manual 2005
- Guidelines for development adjoining land and water managed by DECCW (OEH, 2013)
- Educational Facilities Standards & Guidelines (EFSG), NSW Department of Education



4. Existing Site Characteristics

4.1 Property Detail

The proposed development forms part of the site with the following property details:

Site Address:	36 Elkin Avenue, Heatherbrae, NSW 2324
Real Property Description:	Lot 1, DP120189 Lot 1, DP579025 Lot 1, DP540114
Development Area:	Approximately 92,350 m ² (9.235 Ha)

The proposed development can be seen on the Civil Design Documentation shown in Appendix A of this report.

The proposed development consists of refurbishment of existing buildings as well as additional school buildings, carparking changes to the bus drop-off/ pick-up facilities, as well as external general open play and sporting fields/ facilities.

The overall site is bounded by:

- Residential Neighbouring Properties to the North and South
- The Pacific Highway to the East
- Agricultural lots/ Floodplain to the West

Refer to locality plan in Figure 1 for further clarification.



Figure 1: Site Location Plan (Source: Nearmaps 2022)

4.2 Topography

The local topography around the site is extremely flat, as indicated in Figure 2 below. The high point of the site is located along the South-East boundary at a level of RL 7.5 m AHD and the low point located along the North-Western boundary at a level of RL 2 m AHD, this is an average slope of approximately 1%.



Figure 2: Site Topography (Mecone Mosaic 2022)

4.3 Stormwater Catchments

The surrounding area has been investigated to determine the likely impact of existing external stormwater catchments on the proposed site.

The site is currently surrounded by developments and roadway, so it is believed that no external catchments other than the flooding discussed in following sections impact the development site.

4.4 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure

Stormwater runoff generated from hardstand area is currently either collected and conveyed via pit and pipe network or runs overland on to pervious landscape surfaces. While there are multiple piped systems across the site, for the most part captured stormwater is ultimately conveyed and discharged to the western portion of the site within the school's agricultural area. No connection to external trunk drainage stormwater lines existing within the site and runoff is managed via absorption and runoff to the north west of site.

4.5 Existing Stormwater Discharge

Northrop's site observations (2020), determine that ultimately, stormwater collected within the formal pit and pipe drainage network discharges to the west of the main school buildings within the lower elevation school agricultural area. Stormwater is discharged via infiltration, with no connection to Council stormwater infrastructure identified.

Refer to Figure 3 for further information.





Figure 3: Stormwater Discharge

4.6 Approvals Staging

The proposed stormwater infrastructure for this proposed development is to be approved in various stages, with the majority of the site's network to be approved under a Development Application (Ref: 16-2023-259-1). Parts of the stormwater network connecting into the stormwater network approved under the Development Application will be approved under two REFs. For the purpose of understanding design performance of the completed network, this report and design documentation assumes all items have been approved. However, the stormwater network relevant to this Development Application have been identified within Figure 4 below (area in red).



Figure 4: Stormwater for Approval Under Application 16-2023-259-1

5. Local Authority Requirements

Design requirements for stormwater management on the site have been set out in The Port Stephens Council DCP (2022). These requirements are summarised in the sections below.

5.1 Stormwater Conveyance Requirements

The Port Stephens Council DCP (2022) states that the following design storm Annual Exceedance Probabilities (AEP)'s should be allowed for when designing the Stormwater runoff conveyance systems for the development.

Design Parameter	Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP)	Conveyance Method
Minor Drainage System	10% Flood Event	In Ground (Piped)
Major Drainage System	1% Flood Event	Overland

Table 1: Stormwater Drainage Serviceability

However, the Educational Facilities Standards & Guidelines (EFSG) nominates that "Design the inground drainage piped system for a 20 year ARI Storm event or to the requirements of the Local Council whichever is more severe. Provide above ground overland flow paths for 100 year ARI storm events in accordance to NSW Floodplain Management Manual (2001)."

For the minor drainage system, a 5% AEP storm event will be adopted, aligning with the EFSG's 20yr ARI storm event. For major drainage, Council and the EFSG policies align and will be adopted.

5.2 Infiltration System Requirements

According to the Port Stephens Council DCP (2022), on-site infiltration is required where post-development flow rate or volume exceeds the pre-development flow rate or volume, exceeds the total percentage of site area. The on-site infiltration system is to be sized so that post-development flow rate and volume equals the pre-development flow rate and volume for all storm events up to and including the 1% AEP.

The on-site infiltration system should be provided by either underground chambers, surface storage or a combination of the two and positioned under grassed areas for any cellular system, or under hardstand areas such as driveways or any concrete tank structures.

An on-site infiltration system is an alternative to a traditional pit and pipe stormwater network. Geotechnical investigations and Council soil mapping indicate that the site subsurface conditions could cater for this type of system.

Infiltration based systems can be provided in a variety of forms and will need to be explored during the design process. Providing an infiltration system will allow the reduction in any proposed stormwater pit and pipe sizes, as well as the removal of the main trunk line.

5.3 Stormwater Quality

The Port Stephens Council DCP (2022) states that the post-development stormwater runoff quality shall be improved to achieve the following reduction targets when compared to pre-development levels:

Total Suspended Solids	90% reduction in the average annual load of Total Suspended Solids
Total Nitrogen	45% reduction in the average annual load of Total Nitrogen
Phosphorus	60% reduction in the average annual load of Total Phosphorus



Gross Pollutants	90%	reduction	in	the	average	annual	load	of	Gross
	Pollu	tants (>5m	m)						

Table 2: Pollution Reduction Targets



6. Flood Impact Assessment

When considering a new development, it is important to assess the impact of existing flooding on the proposed development and also the impact of the proposed development on existing or potential flooding both upstream and downstream of the development.

6.1 Existing Flooding

6.1.1 Regional Flooding

A flood impact assessment report has been undertaken by BMT. The following outlines a summary of the extent of the report.

Runoff contributing to Hunter River forms the basis for flooding of the site. Substantial flood warning time of the order of days is anticipated through the lower Hunter.

The subject site is exposed to flooding during the 1% AEP (otherwise referred to as the 1 in 100yr flood event). During a 1% AEP flood event, floodwaters are expected to approach the site from the west inundating the low-lying school agricultural area. Flood waters during this event are expected to reach 4.7m AHD, and PMF levels are anticipated to reach 8.5m AHD. The corresponding flood planning level of 5.7m AHD is provided for the site.

Table 3 below summarises these flood levels.

Flood	Levels
Probable maximum flood level	8.5m AHD
Current day 1% AEP flood level	4.7m AHD
Adaptable minimum floor level	5.7m AHD

Table 3: Site Flood Levels

During the PMF event the existing buildings are expected to be inundated with flood waters up to approximately 1.5m in depth. While early evacuation is the recommended response to a PMF event (i.e. onsite refuge is not recommended), however should onsite refuge be desired then an adequate refuge area should be provided to house student and staff at a level about 8.5m AHD. Response time to peak flood levels during the PMF event is expected to be in the order of days for this site, and therefore sufficient response time should be available to safely evacuate the site prior to any buildings being inundated.

If not already in place, it is recommended a Flood Emergency Response Plan (FERP) is produced and implemented as part of the development. If there is a current FERP available for the site, then it is recommended this is reviewed and updated to align with current Council requirements and industry standards.

Refer to Figure 4 for 1% AEP design event flood depths.

The proposed design aims to have all new infrastructure functional and out of the 1% AEP flood extents.





Figure 4: 1% AEP Design Event Flood Depths

6.1.2 Local Flooding

Local or Nuisance flooding describes flooding occurring due to site specific constraints. Local flooding is often caused by local topographical constraints and stormwater drainage system capacity restrictions.

The topography of the site is such that there is no risk of local flooding on the site as it currently exists.

6.2 Flood Impacts

As previously mentioned, the proposed site is flood affected. The site currently has a major overland flow path from the South to the North-West.

This overland flow path mitigates impacts of flooding on the proposed development as well as impact from the development on existing flooding a channel through the site has been designed to control the flood water.

The overland flow path will follow the natural grade of the site from South to the North-West of the site, where it will discharge into the existing infiltration/ discharge area. It has been designed such that there are no building entrances or wall penetrations located in the overland flow path.

7. Stormwater Conveyance

This section of the report discusses the systems proposed to allow for stormwater to be conveyed across the site to the legal point of discharge.

As discussed in Section 5.1 of this report council have set serviceability requirements for the stormwater conveyance network such that minor flows are conveyed through piped drainage, and major flows are discharged via controlled overland flow.

7.1 Roof Drainage

The drainage system will be designed in accordance with AS3500.3-2003 to convey the minor design storm runoff from the roof to the in-ground drainage system. For storm events exceeding the design storm event, flows will surcharge the roof drainage system and discharge onto the surrounding ground where it will then convey through the inground pits to the stormwater network within the site.

7.2 Surface Drainage

The surface areas will be drained through a variety of methods, discussed below, in accordance with AS3500.3:2003 and Council's stormwater drainage guidelines.

7.2.1 In Ground Drainage

The in-ground drainage has been designed to meet the following criteria:

- In the minor design storm event (5% AEP) there will be no surcharging of the in-ground drainage system and;
- In the major design storm event (1% AEP) there will be no uncontrolled discharge from the site onto neighbouring properties or the surrounding street

Surface runoff from the roads and hardstand areas will be directed to the proposed inground stormwater network using the design topography of these elements. The inlet structures have been designed to adequately convey the surface runoff into the in-ground drainage network.

The runoff will then be conveyed underground across the site to the legal point of discharge using gravity and the geometric falls of the pipe system.

7.3 Legal Point of Discharge

As discussed in Section 4.5, the development area discharges via infiltration onto lawn/ agricultural areas. The collected stormwater is proposed to discharge to the lawn area between existing building Q and the proposed new gymnasium building via a storm chamber absorption trench, that will filtrate over minimum 120m² of the lawn. The new carpark will also have the proposed runoff discharged to landscaped lawn area via a storm chamber absorption trench, that will filtrate over minimum 60m².

Absorption capacity of the absorption trenches has been based around soil capacity for the site, detailed within the geotechnical investigation prepared by Douglas Partners (Ref: 216008.00, Dated August 2022). This report outlines in section 6.1 that permeability of 10^{-5} to 10^{-3} m/s would be expected in the sandy soils, however, there may be some variability. As 10^{-5} m/s is the median value, it has been adopted within calculations. Groundwater was identified at the site, however, is located at a depth much lower than the proposed stormwater network.

For the largest impervious catchment, see below calculation.



ILDOURI I	ION IN	Biton one	CODIN	iono (m	u 20,	
IMPERV	IOUS AREA =	4900	m ²	K =	0.05	$1/m^2/s$
	Adjusted	Absorption Rate	K for 15% clo	gging factor =	0.0425	mm/s
TRENCH ABSORB	TION AREA =	120	m ²	C =	0.85	
OR	L =	8	m	W =	15	m
TIME INTERVALS	INTENSITY	DURATION (min	INFLOW (1)	OUTFLOW (1)	VOLUME (1)	
1	213.0	5	73988	1530	72458	
2	200.0	6	83367	1836	81531	
3	168.0	10	116713	3060	113653	
4	122.0	20	169512	6120	163392	
5	97.0	30	202164	9180	192984	
6	76.0	45	237595	13770	223825	
7	66.0	55	252184	16830	235354	
8	63.0	60	262605	18360	244245	1

ABSORPTION TRENCH CALCULATIONS (ARI 20)

180 mm/hr (absorption rate) 0.00005 m/s (site permeability)



Absorbtion trench designed for 20yr storm event

Volume required =

As proposed trenches have 2100mm deep granular material and chamber units, the proposed 120m² area will be suitably sized for managing inground stormwater up to and including the 5% AEP storm.

244.24 m³

Roads are proposed to drain via grassed table drains with receiving grated inlet pits with absorption chambers at the base.



8. Stormwater Attenuation

As discussed in section 4.2 the attenuation of stormwater discharge from the site will be provided in accordance with The Port Stephens Council Development Control Plan requirements (2022) and the Educational Facilities Standards & Guidelines (EFSG). Hydraulic modelling in DRAINS software has been used to determine the required on-site detention so as to restrict discharge from the development site back to pervious predevelopment discharge rates for all storm events from the 10% AEP event up to the 1% AEP event.

Two SPEL Stormchamber has been proposed for the site for stormwater disposal. This absorption trench is proposed to have a pre-treatment SPEL Hydrosystem HS. 1200/3 or approved equivalent. The storm chamber will ensure filtration over a minimum of 120 m² and 60m2 lawn area for each of the zones. The SPEL Stormchamber is an inground modular arch system which is used for onsite detention, retention and infiltration applications. The system is encased by an impermeable LLDPE liner which is sealed and watertight. The open-bottom arches allow the stormwater runoff to balance across the tank through the clean aggregate stone which surround the arches.

The system helps counter drought conditions by maintaining groundwater base flow to streams, extensive range of 4 different arch heights and the ability to increase the size of the tank to suit the volume required in the available footprint, can be implemented in landscape areas, structural design of the arch allows for superior load ratings which comply with AS5100 & W80 wheel loads, and the system is fast to install and easy to maintain.

Several grassed table drains have been proposed, which fall towards deep stormwater pits with heel guard galvanised grating. An Atlantis cell will be provided at the base of the pits for absorption.

AEP Rainfall Event	Pre-development Discharge (m³/s)	Infiltration tank Discharge (m³/s)	Total Site Peak Discharge (m³/s)
5%	0.315	0.245	0.245
1%	0.525	0.395	0.395

Table 4: Pre vs. Post Development Discharge



9. Water Quality Treatment

As discussed in section 5.3 of this report The Port Stephens Council DCP (2022) require stormwater quality treatment on new developments to reduce the pollutant loading of stormwater discharged into the council drainage system.

This section of the report describes the proposed Stormwater Quality Improvement Devices (SQID's) and the effectiveness of the treatment system in achieving the reduction targets set by council for the proposed development.

9.1 Potential Pollutants

There are a wide range of potential stormwater pollutant sources which occur from urbanised catchments, many which can be managed through appropriate stormwater quality treatment. Typical urban pollutants may include:

- Atmospheric deposition
- Erosion (including that from subdivision and building activities)
- Litter and debris
- Traffic emissions and vehicle wear
- Animal droppings
- Pesticides and fertilisers
- Application, storage and wash-off of car oil, detergents and other household and commercial solvents and chemicals
- Solid's accumulation and growth in stormwater systems
- Weathering of buildings

The following specific pollutants in urban stormwater assessed through water quality modelling and management include:

- Suspended Solids
- Litter
- Nutrients such as Nitrogen and Phosphorous
- Biological oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) materials
- Micro-organisms
- Toxic organics
- Trace metals
- Oils and surfactants

While only the key pollutants underlined above will be examined within the modelling, the Stormwater Quality Improvement Devices implemented are expected to assist in reducing a wide range of pollutants. For example, heavy metals are commonly associated with, and bound to fine sediments. This reduces the discharge of fine sediment during the construction and operational phases will also reduce the discharge of heavy metals to existing stormwater systems.



9.2 Pollutant Reduction System

In order to achieve the pollutant reduction targets specified in section 5.3 of this report, a series of treatment devices are proposed within the stormwater network which form a treatment train.

The diagram below shows a typical treatment train:



Figure 4: Treatment Train

9.2.1 Water Treatment Modelling

In order to demonstrate that the proposed treatment train s the required reduction targets, a pollutant reduction model has been generated using the Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualisation (MUSIC) Software program Version 6.3 by eWater CRC. Pollutant export rates are currently only available for Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Nitrogen (TN), Total Phosphorus (TP) and Gross Pollutants (GP). Therefore, only quantitative modelling for TSS, TN, TP & GN has been undertaken using MUSIC.

Modelling has only been undertaken on the post-development proposal with SQID's installed so as to demonstrate the percentage reduction for each pollutant type.

The proposed treatment train includes the following:

- SPEL Hydrosystem HS. 1200/3
- SPEL Stormsacks





Figure 5: MUSIC Model Treatment Train

Pollutant/Issue	Target	Reduction	Target Achieved
TSS	90%	92.2%	YES
Phosphorus	60%	78.1%	YES
Nitrogen	45%	66.8%	YES
Gross Pollutants	90%	100%	YES

Table 5: MUSIC Results vs. Site Targets

As can be seen in the table above, the MUSIC model show that the proposed design meets council's reduction target. Refer to Appendix C for Port Stephens Council MUSIC Link report.

9.2.2 SPEL Hydrosystem

The SPEL Hydrosystem is a specialist stormwater filter, designed for installation within load bearing shafts and chambers of concrete or plastic construction. The SPEL Hydrosystem uses an up-flow process. This means there is minimal head drop between the inlet and the outlet. The stormwater is treated within the unit but the following processes: sedimentation, filtration, adsorption, and precipitation. It is suitable for heavy metal, TSS and nutrient reduction.



Figure 6: SPEL Hydrosystem (Source: SPEL Website)



9.2.3 SPEL Stormsacks

The SPEL stormsack is specifically designed for the capture of gross pollutants: sediment, litter and oil and grease. It is a water quality device that is deployed directly in the stormwater system to capture contaminants close to the surface for ease of maintenance. The benefits of the SPEL stormsack include:

- Low cost gross pollutant capture
- Quick and easy installation
- Simple maintenance
- At source capture
- Adjusts to custom pit sizes



Figure 7: SPEL Stormsack (Source: SPEL Website)



10. Water Sensitive Urban Design Strategy

WSUD Background Information

Design guidelines for Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) on the site have been set out in the Port Stephens Council DCP (2022). WSUD integrates land use and water management in the aim of minimising impacts of urban development on the natural water cycle. The WSUD design strategy for the proposed development is as follows. No previous WSUD studies have been done for this site.

Site Context

Full site context has been provided in Section 4 above.

Proposed Development

The proposed development has been outlined in Section 7-9 above, as well as in Appendix A with the Civil Design Documentation.

WSUD Objectives and Targets

As discussed in Section 9 above, the site will implement stormfilters and stormsacks in order minimise the development impact on the natural water cycle.

Constraints and Opportunities

There are always opportunities to adopt a range of WSUD measures for any development. There were no major constraints within or neighbouring the site such as flood plains, watercourses or sensitive environments that are required to be preserved or remediated as part of the proposed works.

Stormwater Management

The proposed stormwater system has been designed as per Liverpool Development Control Plan (LDCP) 2008. The full extent of the stormwater management procedures undertaken in the design has been explored throughout the entirety of this SWMP.

Water Table Management

It is not expected that the proposed development will have any impact on the existing water table. No impervious areas of the site will discharge to the ground and hence no groundwater quality measures are required to ensure WSUD requirements are met.



11. Stormwater Network Maintenance Schedule

In order to ensure the ongoing effective operation of the stormwater network and water quality treatment devices, the devices must be maintained in accordance with manufacturer recommendations/requirements and general best practice. It is noted that all pits are to be inspected in a safe manner that assesses localised risk and in accordance with maintenance contractor safe work method statements (SWMS).

The below summaries the various stormwater network components that will need to be maintained, whilst Schedule 1 below details required maintenance of specific items within the network requiring maintenance.

11.1 Pit and Pipe Network

A general inspection of the stormwater pit network is to be undertaken every six (6) months and after major storm events. The general inspection involves visual inspection inside pits, removal and disposal of larger gross pollutants within pits in accordance with waste disposal regulations to prevent blockages, and minimal rectification works as required. Inspection of general pits can coincide with inspection and maintenance of water quality pit inlets (if applicable).

11.2 Water Quality Treatment Devices

The filtration inserts, located within the pits, are to undergo minor service every three (3) months and after major storm events or a hazardous material spill. This involves inspection and evaluation of the filter bad and its condition, removal of captured pollutants, and the appropriate disposal of captured material in accordance with waste disposal regulations. The minor service is designed to return the ocean guard back to optimal operating performance. An inspection of the condition is to be particularly undertaken following major storm events to check for damage and higher than normal sediment accumulation. Refer to manufacturer's maintenance procedures for details of safely undertaking hand maintenance or vacuum maintenance of the ocean guards.

A major service of the ocean guards is undertaken on an as-required basis and involves the inspection of ocean guards to determine the need for filter bag replacement and support frame rectification. Replacement is based on the outcomes from the minor service whereby damage is detected. Contact manufacturer for assessment and replacement components and refer to manufacturer's maintenance procedures for safely replacing components.

A general inspection of the filtration cartridges located in a Stormfilter chamber within the OSD tank, is to be undertaken every six (6) months and after major storm events or a hazardous material spill. The general inspection involves visual inspection of the Stormfilter cartridges and chamber, removal and disposal of larger gross pollutants from the device in accordance with waste disposal regulations to prevent blockages, and minimal rectification works as required. Cartridges are also to be checked to ensure they are all firmly connected to the connectors.

A minor service of the Stormfilters, undertaken every twelve (12) months and after major storm events or a hazardous material spill, involves the evaluation of the Stormfilter cartridges and media, removal of accumulated sediment and a washdown of the Stormfilter chamber. Refer to Ocean Protect maintenance procedures for details of safely undertaking maintenance of the Stormfilter cartridges. During this service, the cartridge media is to be inspected and replaced if it is revealed that the cartridge media is exhausted. If this is the case, a major service is to be undertaken to replace the Stormfilter cartridge media. Contact manufacturer for assessment and replacement components and refer to manufacturer's maintenance procedures for details of safely replacing the media components.

11.3 Civil Structures

A general inspection of civil structures and associate drainage across a site should be undertaken annually. The general inspection involves visual inspection, with identified defects assessed by applicable qualified engineers



11.4 Stormwater and OSD Maintenance Schedule

Maintenance Action	Frequency	Responsibility	Procedure
Pit and Pipe Network			
Blockages of inlet and outlet pipes within pits	Six Monthly	Maintenance Contractor	Remove grate. Remove any debris/litter/sludge from within pits.
Condition of inlet grates	Six Monthly	Maintenance Contractor	Clear vegetation and any debris from the pit grate and repair as required.
Condition of pit structures and section of pipes at inlets/ outlets.	Two Years	Maintenance Contractor	Remove grate to inspect internal walls. Repair as required. Clear vegetation from external walls if necessary and repair as required. Notify structural engineer if detrimental features observed.
Overland flow paths and drainage swales	Six Monthly	Maintenance Contractor	Walk along the flow path and swale. Check batters and condition of path extent. Remove any debris/litter/sludge.
Survey pipe condition with CCTV's and repair defects as necessary	Five Years	Maintenance Contractor	Remove grate. Clear blockages for camera access. Operate camera in accordance with manufacturer specifications and operator standard procedures.
Water Quality Devices			
Blockages and debris within stormwater pit filtration inserts/ storm sacks	Six Monthly	Maintenance Contractor	Remove grate. Remove any debris/litter/sludge from within inserts.
Blockages and debris within filtration tanks and devices	Six Monthly	Maintenance Contractor	Remove grate. Remove any debris/litter/sludge. Hose out tank and devices from outside tank.
Blockages and debris within filtration cartridges inside storage tanks.	Six Monthly	Maintenance Contractor	Remove grate. Remove any debris/litter/sludge. Hose out tank and devices from outside tank.
Blockages and water conveyance within filtration stormwater lines	Annual	Maintenance Contractor	Remove grate. Flow water through filtration stormwater line from inspection openings to remove blockages.
Condition of stormwater pit filtration inserts/ storm sacks	Annual	Manufacturer's Contractor	Remove inserts from pit to inspect. Repair as required.
Condition and performance of treatment tank components	Annual	Manufacturer's Contractor	Remove grate and follow SWMS procedures to enter into the tank. View and repair damaged components.



Condition and performance of filtration cartridges	Annual	Manufacturer's Contractor	Remove cartridges from pit to inspect. Repair as required.
Civil Structures			
Check subsoil behind retaining walls drainage capacity via hose flushing	Annual	Maintenance Contractor	Blast with hose, water into inspection openings and pits to ensure conveyance through lines. Review outlets to ensure flow through line.
Condition of retaining walls and other structures, including cracking and stability	Annual	Maintenance Contractor	Walk along and inspect all visible faces of wall structure. Observe for cracking, crack width, any lean in on wall and moisture within structure. Notify structural engineer if detrimental features observed.
Check batters for signs of scour and erosion	Annual	Maintenance Contractor	Walk along bottom of embankments where possible. Check batter stability and vegetation. Notify civil engineer if detrimental features observed.



12. Erosion & Sedimentation Control

Landcom have published a design guide entitled "Managing Urban Stormwater - Soils and Construction" which is regarded as the standard to which erosion and sedimentation control should be designed to within NSW.

The control of erosion and sedimentation describes the measures incorporated during and following construction of a new development to prevent the pollution and degradation of the downstream watercourse.

A Soil and Water Management Plan has prepared as part of the development application documentation and is included in Appendix A of this report.

12.1 Stormwater Drainage Infrastructure Inlets

<u>Risk</u>:

- Sediment from the construction site washing into the existing stormwater drainage inlet infrastructure.

Consequence:

- The sediment will then be conveyed into the downstream waterbody by stormwater runoff, contaminating the waterbody.
- The sediment will build up blocking the stormwater infrastructure and preventing stormwater conveyance to the downstream waterbody and impacting drainage upstream.

Mitigation:

- Sediment traps protection will be installed surrounding all existing stormwater drainage infrastructure inlets to prevent sediment entering the system.
- Temporary Stormwater Systems are to be installed where required to capture all site runoff within the zone of
 excavation. Runoff will be allowed to settle out suspended particles and debris, and an acceptable water of 50mg
 per litre of Non Filterable Residues (NFR) is required to be achieved prior to discharge.
- Installation of a fence around the perimeter of the basin is required as well as a rip rap to allow for bobcat access for periodic removal of sediment. Also, a perforated riser outlet pipe needs to be placed for the connection and discharge to an existing pit.

Maintenance:

- Frequent inspection of the sandbags to ensure they are arranged in a manner that prevents sediment from accessing the drainage system. If sediment is building up on the sandbags they should be cleared of sediment and re-established.
- All soil erosion and sediment control structures including temporary sediment basins and sediment traps shall be inspected following each storm event and any necessary maintenance work shall be undertaken to ensure their continued proper operation.

12.2 Construction Exit Protection

<u>Risk</u>:

- Spoil such as soil being conveyed from the site on the wheels of vehicles.

Consequence:

- Spoil being tracked onto the public road corridors where it is then washed into the existing stormwater drainage infrastructure and is then washed downstream polluting the downstream waterbody.
- Spoil being tracked onto the public road creating dangerous driving conditions for other road users.

Mitigation:

- A shaker grid and wash down facility will be installed at all exits from the construction site. All vehicles leaving the site will have their wheels washed down and pass over the shaker grid to remove any spoil collected on their wheels and retaining the spoil on site.

Maintenance:

- Frequent inspection of the shaker grid to ensure it is clean and still functioning.

12.3 Downstream Site Boundaries

<u>Risk:</u>

- Rainfall runoff falling on the site collecting sediment from the construction site and conveying it overland onto downstream properties and waterbodies.

Consequence:

- Sediment discharge polluting downstream properties and waterbodies.

Mitigation:

 Installation of sediment fences on all downstream boundaries of the site to collect sediment and prevent it discharging onto downstream properties or waterbodies.

Maintenance:

- Regular inspection of the sediment fences to ensure they are functioning correctly and are intact.
- If sediment build up is present it should be removed to ensure correct functionality of the fences.

12.4 Sediment Runoff

Risk:

- Sediment from the construction site washing into the existing stormwater drainage inlet infrastructure.

Consequence:

- The sediment will build up blocking the stormwater infrastructure and preventing stormwater conveyance to the downstream waterbody and impacting drainage upstream.

Mitigation:

- A sediment basin will be installed, and all overland flow directed towards it. The basin will attenuate stormwater flows allowing for the settlement of sediment preventing discharge into the downstream infrastructure.

Maintenance:

Frequent inspection of the basin to ensure there is sufficient volume for the storage of settlement. If there is insufficient storage the basin should be cleared of sediment and re-established.



Appendix A Civil Design Documentation





Stantec Australia Pty. Ltd. Level 6, Building B 207 Pacific Highway St Leonards, NSW 2065 Tel: +61 2 8484 7000

Copyright Reserved The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorised by Stantec is forbidden. The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.

Stantec

Client/Project Logo Eclucation School Infrastructure

Client/Project SINSW

HUNTERS RIVER HIGH SCHOOL

HEATHERBRAE NSW 2324



4

EGEND	
	SITE BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED BUILDING
	LANDSCAPE
	SPORTS FIELD
	ROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACING
	UNSEALED PAVEMENT
	EXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENT TO BE MAINTAINED
	EXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETED
	CONCRETE FOOTPATH
	PAVER PAVEMENT
14.0	PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS
— — 18.0 — —	EXISTING CONTOURS
<u>к</u> жс	KERB AND GUTTER
	KERB ONLY
FK	FLUSH KERB
DD	DISH DRAIN
D	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE
	PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN
SSD	PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN
	RAINWATER TANK
	STORM CHAMBER
	SPEL HYDROSYSTEM
X	GRASSED TABLE DRAIN
	PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT
\square	EXISTING JUNCTION PIT
	PROPOSED GRATED PIT
	EXISTING GRATED PIT
	PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT
	EXISTING KERB INLET PIT
+	PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.
	EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN
	EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED
>>	PROPOSED SWALE

5





SITE BOUNDARY PROPOSED BUILDING ANDSCAPE SPORTS FIELD IANDSCAPE UNSEALED PAVEMENT EXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENT TO BE MAINTAINED EXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETED CONCRETE FOOTPATH PAVER PAVEMENT TABD EXISTING CONTOURS KAG KAG KAG KAG KAG PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS KAG KAG KAG KAG KAG KAG PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN STORM CHAMBER SSD PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT RAINWATER TANK SPEL HYDROSYSTEM GRASSED TABLE DRAIN PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT Image: PROPOSED TREE REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. (+) PROPOSED TREE REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. (+) <th>EGEND</th> <th></th>	EGEND	
PROPOSED BUILDINGIANDSCAPEIANDSCAPEIANDSCAPEPROPOSED SURFACELSIANDSCALED PAVEMENTIAND<		SITE BOUNDARY
IANDSCAPEIANDSCAPESPORTS FIELDROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACINGIANDSCAPEIANDSCAPEIANDSCAPEROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACINGIANDEXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENTEXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETEDIANDPAVER PAVEMENTIANDPAVER PAVEMENTIANDPROPOSED SURFACE LEVELSIBNOEXISTING CONTOURSIBNOFKFKPROPOSED SURFACE LEVELSIBNOPROPOSED SURSOIL DRAINIBNOPROPOSED JUNCTION PITIBNOPROPOSED GRATED PITIBNOIBNOPROPOSED KERB INLET PITIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNOIBNO <th></th> <th>PROPOSED BUILDING</th>		PROPOSED BUILDING
SPORTS FIELDROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACINGUNSEALED PAVEMENTEXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENTEXISTING DAVEMENT RE-SHEETEDCONCRETE FOOTPATHPAVER PAVEMENTPAVER PAVEMENT14.0PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELSKAGKERB AND GUTTERKAGKKGFKFLUSH KERBDDPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED SURFACE LEVELSFKFKFKFKPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSTORM CHAMBERGRASSED TABLE DRAINSSING CHAMBERPROPOSED JUNCTION PITPROPOSED JUNCTION PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED TREEPROPOSED TREEPROPOSED TREE TO REMAINPROPOSED TREE TO REMAINPROPOSED TREE TO REMAINPROPOSED SUBLEPROPOSED SUBLEPROPOSED SUBLEPROPOSED SUBLEPROPOSED SUBLEPROPOSED SUBLEPROPOSED TREE TO REMAINPROPOSED S		LANDSCAPE
ROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACINGUNSEALED PAVEMENTEXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENTTO BE MAINTAINEDEXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENTTO BE MAINTAINEDEXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETEDCONCRETE FOOTPATHPAVER PAVEMENTPAVER PAVEMENTPROPOSED SUBGILPROPOSED GRATED DAINPROPOSED JUNCTION PITPROPOSED JUNCTION PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED TREEPROPOSED TREE		SPORTS FIELD
Image: Constraint of the constra	······································	ROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACING
EXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENT TO BE MAINTAINEDEXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETEDCONCRETE FOOTPATHPAVER PAVEMENT14.0PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS18.0EXISTING CONTOURSKERB ONLYFKFKDDDDPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDPROPOSED JUNCTION PITSSDPROPOSED JUNCTION PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITFKPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED TREE.REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.PROPOSED TREE.REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.PROPOSED TREE.PROPOSED TREE TO BE REMOVEDPROPOSED SWALE		UNSEALED PAVEMENT
EXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETEDCONCRETE FOOTPATHPAVER PAVEMENT14.0PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS18.0EXISTING CONTOURSKAGKERB AND GUTTERKAGKERB ONLYFKFLUSH KERBDDDISH DRAINPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDRAINWATER TANKGRASSED TABLE DRAINSSDSPEL HYDROSYSTEMGRASSED TABLE DRAINSITING JUNCTION PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED GRATED PITSTING GRATED PITSTING GRATED PITSTING KERB INLET PITPROPOSED TREE.REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.STING TREE TO BE REMOVEDPROPOSED SWALE		EXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENT
CONCRETE FOOTPATHPAVER PAVEMENTIdoPROPOSED SURFACE LEVELSIdoEXISTING CONTOURSKagKERB AND GUTTERKagFLUSH KERBDDPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPPROPOSED GRATED DRAINSSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDSTORM CHAMBERSSDSPEL HYDROSYSTEMGRASSED TABLE DRAINEXISTING GRATED PITImage: SSDPROPOSED GRATED DRAINPROPOSED JUNCTION PITSSDPROPOSED GRATED PITImage: SSDPROPOSED TREEImage: SSDPROPOSED SWALE		EXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETED
PAVER PAVEMENT14.0PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS18.0EXISTING CONTOURSKAGKERB AND GUTTERKAGFLUSH KERBDDPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEDDPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPESDDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAINSSDSPEL HYDROSYSTEMGRASSED TABLE DRAINSTORM CHAMBERSITING JUNCTION PITEXISTING GRATED PITImage: String String GRATED PITPROPOSED GRATED PITImage: String String GRATED PITEXISTING GRATED PITImage: String String String TREE TO REMAINEXISTING TREE TO REMAINImage: String TREE TO BE REMOVEDPROPOSED SWALE		CONCRETE FOOTPATH
IddPROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS18.0EXISTING CONTOURSKAGKERB AND GUTTERKAGKERB ONLYKOFLUSH KERBDDDISH DRAINDDPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED GRATED DRAINSSDPROPOSED GRATED DRAINSSDSTORM CHAMBERGRASSED TABLE DRAINImage: Store S		PAVER PAVEMENT
	14.0	PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS
K&GKERB AND GUTTERK&GKERB ONLYFKFLUSH KERBDDDISH DRAINDDPROPOSED STORMWATER PIPEPROPOSED GRATED DRAINSSDPROPOSED GRATED DRAINSSDSTORM CHAMBERSSDSPEL HYDROSYSTEMGRASSED TABLE DRAINImage: SSDPROPOSED JUNCTION PITImage: SSDPROPOSED GRATED PITImage: SSDPROPOSED GRATED PITImage: SSDPROPOSED GRATED PITImage: SSDPROPOSED KERB INLET PITImage: SSDPROPOSED TREE.Image: SSDPROPOSED SWALEImage: SSDPROPOSED SWALE	18.0	EXISTING CONTOURS
KO KERB ONLY FK FLUSH KERB DD DISH DRAIN DD PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN SSD PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT SI EXISTING JUNCTION PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT EXISTING GRATED PIT FILSTING KERB INLET PIT EXISTING KERB INLET PIT FILSTING TREE TO REMAIN EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN SING EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED		KERB AND GUTTER
FK FLUSH KERB DD DISH DRAIN DD PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN SSD STORM CHAMBER STORM CHAMBER GRASSED TABLE DRAIN STORM CHAMBER GRASSED TABLE DRAIN STORM CHAMBER PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT STOR PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT STING KERB INLET PIT PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. STING TREE TO REMAIN EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN STING TREE TO BE REMOVED PROPOSED SWALE	K&G	KERB ONLY
FK DISH DRAIN DD PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN SSD STORM CHAMBER SPEL HYDROSYSTEM GRASSED TABLE DRAIN SSD PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT EXISTING GRATED PIT PROPOSED TREE. EXISTING KERB INLET PIT + PROPOSED TREE. EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN - - PROPOSED SWALE	KU	FLUSH KERB
D PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN SSD PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN RAINWATER TANK STORM CHAMBER SPEL HYDROSYSTEM GRASSED TABLE DRAIN RAINWATER TANK STORM CHAMBER PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT EXISTING JUNCTION PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT EXISTING KERB INLET PIT + PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. + PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED - - - PROPOSED SWALE		DISH DRAIN
PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN SSD PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN RAINWATER TANK STORM CHAMBER SPEL HYDROSYSTEM GRASSED TABLE DRAIN PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED GRATED PIT PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. PROPOSED SWALE	D	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE
SSDPROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN■RAINWATER TANKSTORM CHAMBERSTORM CHAMBER●SPEL HYDROSYSTEM●GRASSED TABLE DRAIN●PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT●EXISTING JUNCTION PIT●PROPOSED GRATED PIT●EXISTING GRATED PIT●PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT●PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.●PROPOSED TREE. 		PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN
RAINWATER TANKSTORM CHAMBERSPEL HYDROSYSTEMGRASSED TABLE DRAINROPOSED JUNCTION PITNPROPOSED JUNCTION PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED TREE.PROPOSED TRE	SSD	PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN
STORM CHAMBERSPEL HYDROSYSTEMGRASSED TABLE DRAINROPOSED TABLE DRAINROPOSED JUNCTION PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.PROPOSED TREE TO REMAINPROPOSED SWALE		RAINWATER TANK
SPEL HYDROSYSTEMGRASSED TABLE DRAINROPOSED JUNCTION PITROPOSED JUNCTION PITROPOSED GRATED PITROPOSED GRATED PITROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITPROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.STING TREE TO REMAINSTING TREE TO BE REMOVEDPROPOSED SWALE		STORM CHAMBER
GRASSED TABLE DRAINImage: Constraint of the second state of the second s		SPEL HYDROSYSTEM
NotePROPOSED JUNCTION PITNoteExisting JUNCTION PITProposed GRATED PITProposed GRATED PITProposed KERB INLET PITProposed KERB INLET PITProposed TREEPROPOSED TREEExisting TREE TO REMAINExisting TREE TO BE REMOVEDProposed SWALE		GRASSED TABLE DRAIN
Image: Rest is ting junction pitImage: Rest is ting grated pitImage: Rest is ting grate to r	\square	PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT
Image: PROPOSED GRATED PITImage: PROPOSED GRATED PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITImage: PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.Image: PROPOSED TREE TO REMAINImage: PROPOSED TREE TO BE REMOVEDImage: PROPOSED SWALE	\square	EXISTING JUNCTION PIT
EXISTING GRATED PITPROPOSED KERB INLET PITFROPOSED TREE.PROPOSED TREE.REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.EXISTING TREE TO REMAINEXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVEDPROPOSED SWALE		PROPOSED GRATED PIT
PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT EXISTING KERB INLET PIT + PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. • <th></th> <th>EXISTING GRATED PIT</th>		EXISTING GRATED PIT
EXISTING KERB INLET PIT + PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. • EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN • EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED -> PROPOSED SWALE		PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT
+ PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. • EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN • EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED -> PROPOSED SWALE		EXISTING KERB INLET PIT
EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED PROPOSED SWALE	+	PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.
EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED ->> PROPOSED SWALE		EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN
>> PROPOSED SWALE		EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED
	>>	PROPOSED SWALE





Client/Project SINSW

HUNTERS RIVER HIGH SCHOOL

HEATHERBRAE NSW 2324

LEGEND	
	SITE BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED BUILDING
	LANDSCAPE
	SPORTS FIELD
	ROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACING
	UNSEALED PAVEMENT
	EXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENT
	EXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETED
	CONCRETE FOOTPATH
	PAVER PAVEMENT
14.0	PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS
— — 18.0 — —	EXISTING CONTOURS
K&C	KERB AND GUTTER
K0	KERB ONLY
EK	FLUSH KERB
	DISH DRAIN
D	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE
	PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN
SSD	PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN
	RAINWATER TANK
	STORM CHAMBER
	SPEL HYDROSYSTEM
××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	GRASSED TABLE DRAIN
\square	PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT
\square	EXISTING JUNCTION PIT
	PROPOSED GRATED PIT
	EXISTING GRATED PIT
	PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT
	EXISTING KERB INLET PIT
+	PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.
	EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN
	EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED
>>	PROPOSED SWALE

5







NARY	

Title SITEWORKS PLAN-SHEET 6 Project No. Scale 1:200 RevisionDrawing No.FHRHS-STNC-XX-XX-DR-C-060106

EGEND	
	SITE BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED BUILDING
	LANDSCAPE
	SPORTS FIELD
	ROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACING
	UNSEALED PAVEMENT
	EXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENT TO BE MAINTAINED
	EXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETED
	CONCRETE FOOTPATH
	PAVER PAVEMENT
14.0	PROPOSED SURFACE LEVELS
18.0	EXISTING CONTOURS
K&G	KERB AND GUTTER
K0	KERB ONLY
	FLUSH KERB
	DISH DRAIN
D	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE
	PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN
SSD	PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN
	RAINWATER TANK
	STORM CHAMBER
	SPEL HYDROSYSTEM
*****	GRASSED TABLE DRAIN
\square	PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT
\square	EXISTING JUNCTION PIT
	PROPOSED GRATED PIT
	EXISTING GRATED PIT
	PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT
	EXISTING KERB INLET PIT
+	PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.
	EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN
	EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED
>>	PROPOSED SWALE

-5





Notes					Issue Status
					PRELIMINARY
					NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
	F REVISED SCHEMATIC DESIGN	LPT	JMB	2023.07.14	This document is suitable onl
	E REVISED SCHEMATIC DESIGN	СРО	JMB	2023.04.13	Use of this document for an
	D DRAFT REVISED SCHEMATIC DESIGN ISSUE	CPO	JMB	2023.03.24	purpose is not permitte
	C FINAL PHASE 3 ISSUE	CPO	JMB	2022.08.08	
	B 100% SCHEMATIC DESIGN PROCESS	CPO	JMB	2022.07.29	
	A 95% SCHEMATIC DESIGN PROCESS	CPO	JMB	2022.07.14	
	Issued/Revision	Ву	Appd	YYYY.MM.DD	

Colour Disclaimer This drawing has been documented in Stantec colour. This drawing is required to be printed in colour. Failure to do so may result inloss of information. Black and white printing may be used if specific INARY black and white documents have been obtained from Stantec. ISTRUCTION Stantec Australia Pty. Ltd. Notes Level 6, Building B 207 Pacific Highway table only for the St Leonards, NSW 2065 ed above. Tel: +61 2 8484 7000 ent for any other

Copyright Reserved

The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorised by Stantec is forbidden. The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. Client/Project Logo

Client/Project SINSW

HUNTERS RIVER HIGH

HEATHERBRAE NSW 2324

File Name: HRHS-STNC-XX-XX-DR-C-060101.

	PROPOSED BUILDING
	LANDSCAPE
	SPORTS FIELD
	ROAD PAVEMENT - ASPHALT SURFACING
	UNSEALED PAVEMENT
	EXISTING UNSEALED PAVEMENT
	EXISTING PAVEMENT RE-SHEETED
\mathbf{X}	
(,)	K&G
	KO KERB ONLY
	FK FLUSH KERB
	DD DISH DRAIN
	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE
	PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN
	RAINWATER TANK
	STORM CHAMBER
	SPEL HYDROSYSTEM
	GRASSED TABLE DRAIN
	PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT
<i>,</i>	
	PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT
	EXISTING KERB INLET PIT
	+ PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.
	EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN
44 DP18666	
	EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED
	PROPOSED SWALE
45 DP186	
\land \land \land \land	\sim
	1:200 2 0 2 4 6 8 10 A1
	1:400 A3
	SHEET 7
H SCHOOL	
	Project No. Scale
1.DWG CPO JMB JMB 2022.07.14	Revision Drawing No.
Dwn. Dsgn. Chkd. YYYY.MM.DD	F HRHS-STNC-XX-XX-DR-C-060107

5

LEGEND



/G	CPO	JMB	JMB	2022.0
	Dwn.	Dsgn.	Chkd.	YYYY.M







CATCHMENT:

- TOTAL SITE AREA = 94,217m²
 TOTAL AREA TO BE DEVELOPED = 31,029m²
- TOTAL CATCHMENT TO ABSORPTION TRENCH 1= 11,995m²
 ROOF AREA = 2,577m²
 GROUND AREA = 9,418m²
- TOTAL CATCHMENT TO ABSORPTION TRENCH $2 = 4,305 \text{m}^2$
- TOTAL CATCHMENT TO TABLE DRAINS =12,927m²
- TOTAL BYPASS = 1,802m² (5.8% of total developed area)

					\mathcal{L}
					1:750 7.5 0 7.5 15 22.5 30 37.5 A1 1:1500 A3
SCHOO	ΣL			Title STOR PLAN	MWATER DRAINAGE CATCHMENT
	_			Project No	o. Scale 1:750
CPO Dwn.	JMB Dsgn.	JMB Chkd.	2023.07.14 YYYY.MM.DD	Revision A	Drawing No. HRHS-STNC-XX-XX-DR-C-500001



LEGEND	
	SITE BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED BUILDING
— D —	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE
	PROPOSED GRATED DRAIN
SSD	PROPOSED SUBSOIL DRAIN
	ON SITE DETENTION (OSD) TANK
	RAINWATER TANK
	STORM CHAMBER
•	SPEL HYDROSYSTEM
	GRASSED TABLE DRAIN
\square	PROPOSED JUNCTION PIT
\square	EXISTING JUNCTION PIT
	PROPOSED GRATED PIT
	EXISTING GRATED PIT
	PROPOSED KERB INLET PIT
	EXISTING KERB INLET PIT
<u>B.2</u>	PIT TAG
+	PROPOSED TREE. REFER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.
	EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN
	PROPOSED STORMWATER NETWORK FOR APPROVAL UNDER APPLICATION NUMBER: 16-2023-259-1. NOTE REMAINDER OF THE PROPOSED STORMWATER NETWORK WILL BE APPROVED UNDER REF APPROVALS AND EXEMPT DEVELOPMENT



	Title STORMWATER DRAIN SITE WIDE	NAGE PLAN
I SCHOOL		
	Project No.	Scale 1:750
DWGCPOJMBJMB2022.07.14Dwn.Dsgn.Chkd.YYYY.MM.DD	Revision Drawing No. F HRHS-STNC-X	(X-XX-DR-C-520001

Appendix B Existing Flood Information







Figure 1 - 1% AEP design event flood depths



Figure 2 – PMF design event flood depths

Appendix C MUSIC Link Report





musicølink

roject Details			Company Details		
Project:	301350957 Hunter River PS		Company:	Stantec Australia	
Report Export Date:	13/04/2023		Contact:	Jackson Bramley	
Catchment Name:	230116 Elkin C Revised		Address:	Lvl 9, 203 Pacific Hwy St Leonards	
Catchment Area:	3.023ha		Phone:	0421193028	
npervious Area*:	40.32%		Email:	jackson.bramley@stantec.com	
ainfall Station:	WILLIAMTOWN RAAF - Station 061078 - Zone C				
lodelling Time-step:	6 Minutes				
todelling Period:	1/01/1998 - 31/12/2007 11:54:00 PM				
lean Annual Rainfall:	1238mm				
vapotranspiration:	1394mm				
IUSIC Version:	6.3.0				
IUSIC-link data Version:	6.34				
tudy Area:	Raymond Terrace				
cenario:	Default Catchment - Sandysoils				
es into account area from all source nodes that link to th	e chosen reporting node, excluding Import Data Nodes				
eatment Train Effectiveness		Treatment Nodes		Source Nodes	
de: LPOD 90/60/45	Reductio	n Node Type	Number	Node Type	Number
low	54.4%	Swale Node	1	Urban Source Node	13
35	92.2%	Infiltration System Node	2		
P	78.1%	Generic Node	2		
	66.8%	GPT Node	5		
N					

NOTE: A successful self-validation check of your model does not constitute an approved model by Port Stept MUSIC-link now in MUSIC by eWater – leading software for modelling stormwater solutions 1 of 4



music@link

Passing Parameters					
Node Type	Node Name	Parameter	Min	Max	Actual
GPT	1/SPEL Stormsacks	Hi-flow bypass rate (cum/sec)	None	99	0.15
GPT	10/SPEL Stormsacks	Hi-flow bypass rate (cum/sec)	None	99	0.15
GPT	5/SPEL Stormsacks	Hi-flow bypass rate (cum/sec)	None	99	0.09
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infiltration)	Area (sqm)	None	None	244.6
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infiltration)	Area (sqm)	None	None	244.6
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infiltration)	Filter area (sqm)	None	None	193.2
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infiltration)	Filter area (sqm)	None	None	193.2
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infiltration)	Hi-flow bypass rate (cum/sec)	None	None	100
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infiltration)	Hi-flow bypass rate (cum/sec)	None	None	100
Receiving	LPOD 90/60/45	% Load Reduction	None	None	54.4
Receiving	LPOD 90/60/45	GP % Load Reduction	90	None	100
Receiving	LPOD 90/60/45	TN % Load Reduction	45	None	66.8
Receiving	LPOD 90/60/45	TP % Load Reduction	60	None	78.1
Receiving	LPOD 90/60/45	TSS % Load Reduction	90	None	92.2
Urban	Hardstand (100% Imp - 3092 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.309
Urban	Hardstand (100% Imp - 3092 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.309
Urban	Hardstand (100% Imp - 3092 sqm)	Area Pervicus (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Hardstand (100% Imp - 3092 sqm)	Area Pervicus (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Hardstand (100% Imp - 3092 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.309
Urban	Hardstand (100% Imp - 3092 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.309
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 11109 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 11109 sqm)	Area Pervicus (ha)	None	None	1.11
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 11109 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	1.11
Urban	Landscape (0% Imp - 1745 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Landscape (0% Imp - 1745 sqm)	Area Pervicus (ha)	None	None	0.175
Urban	Landscape (0% Imp - 1745 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.175
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2346 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2346 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2346 sqm)	Area Pervious (ha)	None	None	0.235
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2346 sqm)	Area Pervicus (ha)	None	None	0.235
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2346 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.235
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2346 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.235
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2835 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2835 sqm)	Area Pervious (ha)	None	None	0.284
Urban	Landscape (0% imp - 2835 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.284
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 2250 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.225
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 2250 sqm)	Area Pen/icus (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 2250 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.225
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 2500 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.25
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 2500 sqm)	Area Pervicus (ha)	None	None	0
Only contain processing one considered where they appear wilder	-				



musicølink

Node Type	Node Name	Parameter	Min	Max	Actual
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 2500 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.25
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 950 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.095
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 950 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.095
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 950 sqm)	Area Penilous (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 950 sqm)	Area Pervious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 950 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.095
Urban	Paved (100% Imp - 950 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.095
Urban	Roof (100% Imp - 3401 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.34
Urban	Roof (100% Imp - 3401 sqm)	Area Impervious (ha)	None	None	0.34
Urban	Roof (100% Imp - 3401 sqm)	Area Penious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Roof (100% Imp - 3401 sqm)	Area Pervious (ha)	None	None	0
Urban	Roof (100% Imp - 3401 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.34
Urban	Roof (100% Imp - 3401 sqm)	Total Area (ha)	None	None	0.34
Only certain parameters are reported when they pass validation					



musicølink

Failing Parameters								
Node Type	Node Name	Parameter	Min	Max	Actual			
GPT	Isolator Row	Hi-flow bypass rate (cum/sec)	None	99	100			
GPT	Isolator Row	Hi-flow bypass rate (cum/sec)	None	99	100			
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infiltration)	Eveporative Loss as % of PET	100	100	0			
Infiltration	MC-3500 (infitration)	Evaporative Loss as % of PET	100	100	0			
Swale	Swales (6 spans)	Bed slope	0.01	0.05	0.005			
Orly contain parameters are reported when they pass validation								

Design with community in mind

Level 6, Building B 207 Pacific Highway St Leonards NSW 2065 Tel +61 2 8484 7000

For more information please visit www.stantec.com

